

REMARKS

Claims 1, 9, 15, 17, and 24 have been amended. Support for the proposed amendments may be found at least between lines 5 and 25 on page 8 of the Patent Application. Claim 4 has been canceled. Thus, claims 1-3, 6-19, 21-24 are pending in the instant application. No new subject matter has been introduced by way of these amendments.

In the Office Action mailed May 16, 2006, claims 1-3, 6, 9-13, 15-22 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,658,100 to Lund. In addition, dependent claims 8, 14 and 23 were further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lund. Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejections.

An anticipating reference by definition must disclose every limitation of the rejected claim in the same relationship to one another as set forth in the claim. Independent claims 1, 9, 15, 17, and 24 set forth techniques for transmitting voice signals over a circuit switched network and transmitting data over a packet switched network. In this way, circuit-switched networks and packet switched networks may operate in a coordinated fashion to deliver voice and multimedia services to a user. See Patent Application, page 6, ll. 7-19.

Lund is directed to automatically retrieving and displaying supplemental information about a called party on the customer premise equipment (CPE) of a calling party. By using a universal resource locator (URL), the customer premise equipment of the calling party retrieves the homepage of the called party from the Internet. See Abstract of Lund. Lund describes transmitting voice and data over a conventional circuit-switched network, such as an Advanced Intelligent Network. See Lund, col. 2, ll. 17-40 and Figure 2. However, Lund is completely silent with regard to packet switched networks. Thus, Lund fails to teach or suggest use of a packet switched network for transmitting data.

For at least the aforementioned reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the present invention is not anticipated by Lund and request that the Examiner's rejections of claims 1-3, 6, 9-13, 15-22 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) be withdrawn.

Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that the pending claims are not obvious in view of Lund. To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. As discussed above, Lund fails to teach or suggest use of a packet switched network for transmitting data, as set forth in independent claims 1, 9, 15, 17, and 24. Furthermore, Lund is not concerned with fostering collaboration between circuit and packet switched networks and therefore fails to provide any suggestion or motivation for transmitting voice signals over a circuit switched network and transmitting data over a packet switched network.

For at least the aforementioned reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are not obvious over Lund and request that the Examiner's rejections of claims 8, 14 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (713) 934-4052 with any questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

/Mark W. Sincell/

Mark W. Sincell, Reg. 52,226

AGENT FOR APPLICANT

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON
CUSTOMER NUMBER: 46290

10333 Richmond Ave., Suite 1100
Houston, Texas 77042
(713) 934-4052

Date: January 4, 2007